197 most closely related Slavic languages as is played by Gothic in a comparative presentation of the Germanic languages. Schleicher explains the antiquity of Lithuanian by the seclusion of the Lithuanian country folk from historical movements, and draws a parallel with Icelandic. (12) One of the

[13]

12. Schleicher, Vergleichende Sprachgeschichte (1848) (cf. here the chapter on the "Zetazismus der litauischen Sprache"); and Die Sprachen Europas (1850).

best modern experts on the Lithuanian language, Prof. Bezzenberger, expresses himself similarly: "If one asks, however, whence it comes that Lithuanian has preserved until today such splendid features of antiquity. . .while all other Indo-European languages have in contrast long gone about [12] in an unusually strong conservative trait in the Lithuanians." (13) Although for the [illegible]

197 174

13. Bezzenberger's reply to L. Brenner's essay, "Litauer und Preussen," Königsberger Hartungsche Zeitung, February 10, 1918. Cf. on this point in the same newspaper, on October 24, 1918, the reply of Dr. Herbert Krüger, "Griechen und Litauer": "Whether the division of the Indo-European languages into centum and satem languages, based on phonetic differences, also forms a good standard for the degree of relationship of the peoples concerned will be contested from many sides. It must be left to the work of specialists to decide whether only the general Indo-European relationship exists between the Lithuanians and the Greeks, or a somewhat closer relationship, which Herr Geheimrat Bezzenberger disputes. Before the assumed division there must have existed at one time a unified original people, and if, as even Herr Geheimrat Bezzenberger does, we no longer

197 174 place the original home of this primitive people in far-off Asia, but in Europe on the shore of the Baltic Sea, the ancestors of the Greeks must have emigrated to the south from their northern homeland, separating themselves from the original people either before or after the Lithuanians."

197 193 Although for the linguist it is naturally just as essential to determine in what ways Lithuanian differs from the old languages of the Latins and Greeks, it is still not without fascination to examine the great antiquity of the Lithuanian language on the basis of the existing similarities. For the declension cases of Latin and Lithuanian the example "man" (Latin vir, Lithuanian vyras) may be given:

/19/

| | Latin | Lithuanian | Latin | Lithuanian |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Singular | | Plural | |
| Nom. | vir | vyras | viri | vyrai |
| Gen. | viri | vyro | virorum | vyrų |
| Dat. | viro | vyrui | viris | vyrams |
| Acc. | virum | vyrą | viros | vyrus |
| Voc. | vir | vyre | viri | vyrai |

While Latin knows for vir only an ablative with an instrumental and locative function (viro--viris), Lithuanian has at its disposal both a locative (vyre--vyruose) and an instrumental (vyru--vyrais). In addition to these cases there are in Lithuanian three more that are formed by attaching suffixes: girion "toward the forest" (giria "forest") dievopi "to God" (dievas "God"), and dieviep "with God."

197 192 Word similarities are especially striking:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| "god" | deus | dievas |
| "tooth" | dens | dantis |